

Department of Infrastructure

Isle of Man Government

Local government Team

Sea Terminal, Douglas IM1 2RF

5<sup>th</sup> August 2023

Dear Sir

letter 1/9  
by post

PROPOSED RAMSEY TOWN BOUNDARY EXTENSION

I have read through the response to this proposal, of the Leraige parish Commissioners and agree with all the points which they have raised.

I would like to comment further on and object to the boundary extension proposed to the west of Ramsey. This area has been closely examined in the planning consideration of Dardosa's proposed Milntown development. It became apparent through the seven alterations to this application (over thirty months) that any development west of the Ouldyn river would be entirely disconnected from Ramsey not only by the river itself but by other land unsuited to development due to flood risks. It would therefore be sensible to exclude this area from any Ramsey Boundary Extension and for it to remain as an agricultural area within Leraige. It would be sensible furthermore to remove the 26 acres west of the Ouldyn river from Ramsey and return it to Leraige for the same reason.

(2 of 2)

[The 26 acres of Ramsey west of the Cuddlyn river were the only land west of that river included in the written part of the 1982 development plan, the accompanying map being incorrectly drawn.]

To summarize; any future western boundary of Ramsey to the west should be the Glen Auldyn river. The inclusion of three parts (5, 6 & 7) of the West Ramsey Development scheme (not approved by Tynwald) is also irrelevant for the reasons given above, let alone the spurious extension proposed beyond this area into the agricultural Balluköllingur peatland.

Yours faithfully



postscript In view of the fact that Ramsey has not considered the findings of the imminent area plan we consider their proposals to be premature. We trust that the area plan will take into account the need for food security within the Isle of Man going forward into the future. It would be a great mistake to destroy good agricultural land to the west of Ramsey.

[REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, August 8, 2023 3:58 PM

Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

I write to acknowledge receipt of Mr Edwards letter, giving his views on the proposal by Ramsey Town Commissioners to extend their boundary.

I can confirm that his submission will be forwarded to the Chairman of the Inquiry.

[REDACTED] indicate (as per options below) how he would wish his submission to be treated:-

- a. Be published in full including name and organisation if applicable; or  
b. Be published anonymously where only the response will be published and not names; or  
c. Not published, where nothing will be published publically.

Could he please also confirm by return if he is intending to attend the Inquiry (due to take place on a date to be announced later in the year, October/November), and speak to his submission at the meeting?

*Yes please.*

Thank you in advance.

Kind regards  
[REDACTED]



 Please don't print this email unless you really need to.

**DOI Values: Communication; Respect; Teamwork; Recognition; Trust; Customer Service.**

**WARNING:** This email message and any files transmitted with it are confidential and may be subject to legal privilege. You must not copy or deliver it to any other person or use the contents in any unauthorised manner without the express permission of the sender. If you are not the intended addressee of this e-mail, please delete it and notify the sender as soon as possible.

DOI  
Sea Terminal

21 AUG 2023

RECEIVED